



**CITY OF DANBURY**  
 155 Deer Hill Avenue  
 Danbury, CT 06810

*Environmental Impact Commission*

*www.ci.danbury.ct.us*

*203-797-4525*

*203-797-4586 fax*

**MINUTES**

**April 28, 2004**

**Common Council Chambers**

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 pm by Chairman Chianese. The Board Members self-identified themselves from right to left at his request. Mr. Westney made a motion to accept tonight's agenda. Ms. Charney seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously. Mr. Westney made a motion to accept the minutes of the 4/14/04 EIC meeting as printed. Ms. Charney seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Members Present: Chairman Benjamin Chianese, Bruce Lees, Craig Westney, William J. Mills, & Sabrina Charney.

Members Absent: Kevin B. Russell, Thomas Pinkham, Jr., & Alternate Keith Prazeres.

Staff Present: Senior Environmental Inspector Scott LeRoy, Secretary Patricia Lee

**PUBLIC HEARING:** None

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**23 Indian Avenue**

**Regulated Activity # 551**

**Cedars Property Owners Association**

Assessor's Lot # K40183

Date of Receipt: 4/14/04.

*Beach, Retaining Wall*

First 65 Days: 6/18/04. Second 65 Days: 8/22/04. See 4/7/04 Notice of Violation. Mr. Tom Carlson signed in at 7:33 pm, identified himself as Treasurer of The Cedars Property Owners' Association, and he gave a brief history of the project. He said that Scott has met with us again, and they had a brief meeting with the Candlewood Lake Authority. His distributed his handout, Property Improvement Proposal, April 2004, (A), (B), (C) on page 3. Item (D) is a swale or ditch, and (E) "Scott has witnessed personally". Mr. Carlson referred to the map on page 6, and pointed out the wall, 4 ft. by 65 ft., and 25 ft. away from the water's edge (A), and (B) the flat beach. Mr. Carlson discussed the swale

(D), as the squiggly line, the tiers of trees & shrubs, the (E) the tier of soil from the beach added to the west side to mitigate the eroded slope on this side, down to the boat ramp, the (F) parking area, which used to be in the middle of the property, they've created a flat area now, surrounded with native plantings. Item (G) is the grade. Mr. Carlson said they've included all of the "lessons they have learned". Tom Carlson said he had asked Patricia, and there is no project quite like this for him to review. He said they took a run around the Lake, and submitted the photographs on page 7, two sites of which are in Danbury. Carlson said pages 8, 9, and 10 show similar sites on the Lake where shore work is being done. He read page 11, the alternatives and why they were rejected. He said page 12 is the summary page, and it is "not our intention to point fingers". He said a lot of people in the Lake communities don't know, and it is not C.L.& P. nor Bob Head's "job to educate us". "We're trying to do the right thing", to finish the project within or before ten days. Carlson said they've incorporated all the input from the Candlewood Lake Authority, C.L.& P., the City of Danbury, and Scott LeRoy, as well as the New Hampshire lake facility. Carlson thanked the Board Members for their time and the time they took at the last meeting. He said some representatives from the Association are here tonight. Ben Chianese asked him if they'd hired an engineer. Tom Carlson said they'd met with CCA, who had given an informal review with no soil testing. Tom Carlson said they'd called three companies. Mr. Chianese asked about extending the beach out into the Lake as an alternative, and Tom Carlson replied that they did not propose to do this. Bill Mills said to him, you are right about prior violations. We didn't always have the regulations and the power. These violations are going to be stopped; we are taking a close and hard look at violations, Mr. Mills said. Tom Carlson said they view the docks as under the Cease & Desist order, so we have not constructed the docks. The docks are normally constructed with the main docks with fingers off of them. Mr. Mills said, you listed alternatives and rejected every one of them. Mr. Carlson said that grass is a terrible alternative, and we have learned better; they want plantings, tiers and woodchips. To remove the wall, to go back, they feel is "not appropriate for the environment". Scott LeRoy said, we met out there on a couple of occasions, and I don't see any of my recommendations here. Carlson said, "We put both together", CCA and Candlewood Lake Authority recommendations. CCA proposed that we come forward and ask, "to be blunt about it", the EIC to see if there's any change of approval and moving forward. Mr. Chianese said that the City of Danbury had asked for before and after photographs. Mr. Carlson said that we tried to provide, as best we could, photos showing the project. We'll go back to CCA and ask for a price. "We're cash strapped". Sabrina Charney said, "I'm perplexed." What you've presented is solid. Scott met with you, spent his time and the taxpayers' time out there with you. The plan does not look bad. Tom Carlson replied, Scott said there's a potential that we might get approval if we move the wall closer to the water. We're "scrambling" with meetings, trying to get the landscape people out there, Carlson said. CCA was not willing to schedule the time with us until we know where we're going. Ben Chianese asked, are you going to be doing a report? Scott LeRoy replied, I'm getting the consensus that the Commission has not come to a consensus about what they want. They don't have the money or the time to waste; neither do we. Bruce Lees asked Scott, what if they bring in an engineer? Mr. LeRoy said, there has got to be photos of this beach somewhere. I was hoping they'd bring them tonight. This is a violation notice. Sabrina Charney said that the information tonight is

much better than the last time and is much appreciated, but I still don't have enough information to make a decision. Scott LeRoy said, I'll tell you what I told them out in the field: if a photograph could be shown to you. The wall could then be the erosion control. Mr. Mills asked if Scott had made that recommendation to the applicant. Mr. LeRoy answered, yes, but it depends on what you guys feel as well. Dig a couple of holes. Ben Chianese said to Mr. Carlson, this is the 4/27/04 photograph from The News Times article. Is this your property? Are there any recommendations you can make to the EIC? Ben asked Scott LeRoy. Bruce Lees suggested Mr. LeRoy meet with them, as Scott LeRoy just said, and have them come back with a proposal to move the wall forward. Mr. LeRoy, Lees, Chianese, and Mills discussed factual evidence, making a motion. Bruce Lees said he'd make a motion "ditto what Scott said". Sabrina Charney seconded the motion. Ben Chianese asked to add the bit about the safety of the docks. Mr. Lees said the safest place for the docks would be to put them in the water. Barry McMann said the docks would usually be in the water by now. Mr. Carlson said the docks are not in good shape. Mr. Chianese told him to make them safe; secure them. The motion to **table** carried by three (Chianese, Charney, Lees) to two (Mills, Westney) votes at 8:15 pm.

**50 – 58 Payne Road**

**Regulated Activity # 544**

**Ray Boa & Arlindo Augusto, LLC**

Assessors Lot # M12009

Date of Receipt: 3/24/04.

*Facility for A & J Construction.*

First 65 Days: 5/28/04. Second 65 Days: 8/1/04. Chairman Chianese introduced this application at 8:18 pm. He and Mr. Mills had gone to the site on 4/21/04 at 1 pm, and "it looked like nothing at all had been done", Ben said. Bill Mills said it was a year to the day from the last approval, and nothing's been done. "I don't think it's ever been cleaned", Bill said, and he described some of the problems he'd seen. My recommendation would be that the applicant must meet the conditions of the previous application, Bill said. Mr. Chianese agreed; the eleven conditions of approval given previously must be met by 6/1/04, to not waste the Commission's time. Scott LeRoy said the original application was a significant activity, a public hearing. Michael Lillis, P.E., of CCA, LLC of Brookfield took the dais, and identified himself. He said Mr. Boa is here too. I don't have a copy of these eleven conditions of approval (EIC 154), Mr. Lillis said. Mike Lillis said, "I misspoke last meeting" about the material at the site. Those are clearly not millings. He then read the 3/24/03 letter from CCA, LLC, with the conditions. Lillis said, with condition #4, that may be a violation. Their tenant was bringing back material from other jobs and dumping it. We did do an A-2 survey, he said, and he distributed copies. Lillis said they had made two attempts to get the City of Danbury to approve the Conservation Easement (10/15/96 by Atty. Paul Jaber). Lillis said he did not find a Conservation Easement in the Danbury records, so he assumes it was not approved by the City. In going through the eleven conditions of approval, Lillis does not agree "that we are in violation" of all eleven. Scott said the City does not record the Conservation Easement; "You do it". Mr. Lillis replied, somewhere between the Corporation Counsel and Mr. Boa's attorney, it did not get recorded. Mr. Chianese interjected that if Corporation Counsel never got back to the applicant about approving

the Conservation Easement, whether all 11 conditions are in violation or not, we can't go forward with this application. Michael Lillis answered, "We're in agreement; we've got some work to do." I'll update this report I made last year, he said. Mr. Mills had questions on the access road and its grade, since he was not on the Board at that time. Mike Lillis said it is an approved site plan for Lot #1, Payne Road Industrial Park, dated 1/11/96 (which he submitted, Exhibit #2). Ben Chianese said it is still my recommendation to issue a Cease & Desist order. Scott LeRoy told him that in the regulations, Section 13.3, A, c and D, would mean we'd have to have another meeting within ten days. Scott continued reading the regulation choices. Of the three, he said, maybe a Notice of Violation is the EIC want to do. It may be more appropriate. Mr. Chianese questioned Scott LeRoy about the regulations, and said, "I recommend they withdraw that application"; I'll open the floor to discuss that. Ray Boa then stood up and identified himself as property owner. Boa said, what we really want to do is correct the violations, fix the road, the curbing; give us a time, 30 days or 45 days. Mr. Chianese said we are under a time restraint. Mr. Boa said we'll discuss it at the next meeting if there is not enough time. Mike Lillis said we will withdraw the application and ask the Board to waive the re-application fee (\$1020 paid). Mr. Mills said the applicant had plenty of time to make these corrections. Mr. Chianese asked the other Board Members for their ideas. Mr. Lees said, I'd rather see the site cleaned up; "I don't think it's right to charge them twice. I'm trying to be a nice guy". Scott LeRoy questioned if there was a hardship. Sabrina Charney volunteered that we may be setting a precedent which we may not want to set. Bruce Lees noted aloud that the applicant had withdrawn the application. Bruce Lees made a motion to issue a Notice of Violation. Bill Mills seconded the motion. Being no further discussion, the motion to **order a Notice of Violation** passed unanimously. Mike Lillis spoke from the audience, "Somewhere along the line" we'll see what we're in violation of.

**40 – 42 Kenosia Avenue**

**Regulated Activity # 538**

**Kenosia Plaza, LLC**

Assessors Lot # E17085, E17086

Date of Receipt: 1/14/04. *Medical & Business Office. Extension ltr. rec'd. 2/25/04.*

First 65 Days: 3/12/04. Second 65 Days: 5/16/04. *Revisions rec'd. 4/7/04 & 4/27/04.* Chairman Ben Chianese stepped down for this application. (Tape B-1 inserted) Bruce Lees is Acting Chairman. Michael Mazzucco, P.E., introduced this application at 8:54 pm, and identified himself. He gave a history of the application, and said that the calculations are improved now, plantings, soil types, it's well drained. "I did some borings", he said. He discussed the Best Management Practices, and the first flush. Scott LeRoy had a question about the Vortechnic unit. Mike Mazzucco said that he didn't do that, but it goes to the catch basin, to the storage, to the Vortechnic unit, the water is moving slower, which is a benefit because it then has a higher removal rate. Scott asked if the detention galleries have holes that can be maintained. Mr. Mazzucco said that while he was away, a small revision with the parking lot striping was done with the Planning Dept. He said he would give the EIC a copy of that revision, so that EIC has the same plan as the Planning Dept. He handed that 4/19/04 revised plan to Secretary Lee.

Mr. Chianese asked if there were any questions. Scott LeRoy said that he had proposed a “maintenance covenant”, which he discussed with Mr. Mazzucco. LeRoy said they’ve “hashed out all the issues”. Mr. Mills had a question of what the maintenance would be, the on-line Vortechnic unit, and Mr. Mazzucco answered “yes”. Bill Mills said, you needed a vacation. Craig Westney motioned to **table** this issue until the 5/12/04 meeting. Sabrina Charney seconded the motion, and it carried with four votes (Chianese stepped down).

**336 Main Street**

**Regulated Activity # 543**

**AutoZone, Inc.**

Assessors Lot # I13032

Date of Receipt: 3/10/04

*New retail building & parking.*

First 65 Days 5/14/04. Second 65 Days: 7/18/04. Bruce Lees brought the meeting back to order at 9:12 pm, and Ben Chianese introduced Regulated Activity #543. John LaFonte, Project Designer, with Consultants & Engineers, identified himself and the representative from AutoZone, Curtis Sigler. Mr. Sigler took the microphone, and said he was from the headquarters in Memphis, TN. He said this will be a retail operation, “we sell auto parts”, and there will be no service bays. It will be open 8 am to 9 pm Monday through Saturday, and on Sunday from 8 am to 6 pm. A Semi trailer truck will deliver merchandise one a week at a non-peak time. We have customers, Sigler said, who buy oil, then change their oil, and bring the old oil back in. AutoZone has a double-walled, 185-gallon, UL rated container for this oil that will be kept inside the store. A Federally regulated company comes in and empties it inside the store periodically. Ben Chianese asked about car batteries. Mr. Sigler said that if a bad battery is brought in, it is stored inside the store, and then it’s picked up. He said, “We store nothing outside”. There will be a trash dumpster and a recycle dumpster. He said he will get Ben Chianese copies of the oil container. Mr. Chianese asked where is this container in the building, and what if a customer trips and spills a container of oil. Curtis Sigler said, I have no knowledge of any spill. The oil pickup happens weekly in every store. Craig Westney said his concern is the proximity to the Still River; do you have a process to address that? Westney said he is concerned about how the oil gets from that container emptied into the truck. Scott LeRoy asked does AutoZone have a corporate environmental specialist and manual. Mr. Sigler said we have a hazardous materials book at every store. LeRoy suggested they get that book for the EIC members. John LaFonte came back to the mic at 9:22 pm. He said he has two packets of photos of the three existing buildings on the site, and 68% impervious surface. The Still River abuts the property line. We’ll “beautify the area”, LaFonte said. He flipped the map on the easel to the alternate site plan. We pulled the building back about 20 ft., closer to Main Street, we moved the dumpster and lost some parking spaces. He said the river is 89 ft. off the back in this alternative. We have increased the amount of impervious surface, LaFonte said, and therefore increased the pollution from parked cars. Mr. Lees, LaFonte and Sigler discussed the parking, asphalt versus concrete, on the plan. Mr. LeRoy asked what is the impervious surface with that plan. Mr. LaFonte replied .7 acres, versus .636 acres on the original plan. Mr. LeRoy said they are going to rip up everything, and he

talked about the maps shown. Mr. Chianese said it is not going to change that much. Mr. Chianese said, why don't you put up a gate; employees have to park somewhere. Ms. Charney said, when I envisioned moving the buildings up, I envisioned no parking in front. At other Main Street stores, one must park in the back, she said. Curtis Sigler said that AutoZone prefers parking in the front, as it is more customer-friendly. The customer does not have to walk all the way around the building. Mr. Westney said, moving the building, the parking, these are really Planning Department issues. Mr. LeRoy asked Mr. Westney if he has been there, and Craig replied "yes". Scott LeRoy said there's lots of illegal dumping going on there now. Mr. Sigler said, we propose two fences, 6 ft., so that any blowing debris will be caught by one of those fences. Mr. LeRoy said, I agree with Sabrina; move it closer to the street. Ms. Charney said, I'd like to see what that would look like. Mr. LaFonte, Sigler, Westney discussed moving the building, parking in back, 44 spaces and two handicapped, what Zoning requires. Curtis Sigler said, "We have basically two prototypes", one for a narrow site, and one for a larger store. The Brookfield store is a tenant in a strip mall, not a prototype. Mr. Lees, LeRoy, and LaFonte discussed the parking space size, the buffer, alternatives, stabilizing the site, safety within the City of Danbury, the grade on the site plan, the catch basins, the three low points, the existing retaining wall, undermining what's there now, the front door location, the draining stormwater from the neighboring site, cleaning debris on the neighboring site. Mr. LeRoy said, I asked for a level spreader across the slope, and I'm asking for that again. (Tape 2A flipped to 2B at 8:43 pm.) Mr. LeRoy said, I'm still going to ask you to extend that spreader. Also, ask the Army Corps of Engineers if this is part of their project. They may want those mature trees taken down. Mr. LaFonte, LeRoy, Lees, and Mills discussed the embankment, the spreader, and stockpiling dirt in the back. John LaFonte said, we've relocated the stockpiled dirt, as asked at the last meeting, as shown in your package. Mr. Chianese asked if this has been submitted to the Planning Dept. yet. Mr. LaFonte replied "yes". Craig Westney suggested that we see the mirror-image alternative with no parking in the front. Ms. Charney said, "I agree, but I don't see the purpose of surrounding the store" with pavement. Mr. Sigler said he'd have to go back to the Senior Vice-Presidents to ask about no parking in the front. Sabrina Charney said, I most dislike the parking surrounding the building with impervious surface. We will hold the contractors responsible for sedimentation and erosion controls during construction. Let's now try to reduce the impervious surface, she said. Mr. Mills said, they still need 44 spaces. Mr. LeRoy and Ms. Charney said, there is the alternative of pervious surface, gravel pavers. Mr. Sigler said we have not considered that due to the trucks. Ms. Charney suggested they create as much of a buffer between the impervious surface and the River as possible. Mr. Lees said, "Flip-flop the building" over, and all parking moves to the back side. It's a beat-up parking lot. I'm in favor of 1 or 2. Craig Westney said, but the applicant has said, if they must do that, then they will not build at all. Mr. Sigler said, "No, we can't change the size of the building", but he suggested moving the dumpsters, setting them at a 45 degree angle. Mr. LaFonte said, another applicant might decide to renovate the existing buildings. Scott LeRoy said, what I'll ask for is all information on alternatives 1 and 2, and you guys approve one alternative as a Commission. Mr. LeRoy, Chianese, and LaFonte discussed the alternative plans on the easel, and if there will be a basement. Ms. Charney said, "This is retrofitting a degraded area"; let's get the numbers. Mr. LeRoy asked for full reports on both plans; "there is

pavement that is porous”. Mr. LaFonte asked Scott if there is a sedimentation and erosion control device. Mr. LeRoy said we can have a meeting to discuss that. Mr. Lees made a motion to **table** this till the 5/12/04 meeting. Mr. Westney seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously at 10:03 pm.

**12 Dixon Road**

**Regulated Activity # 548**

**Christopher & Donna Ryan**

Portion of Assessor’s Lot # J08127

Date of Receipt: 4/14/04.

*Dwelling, well, septic, driveway.*

First 65 Days: 6/18/04. Second 65 Days: 8/22/04. Michael Mazzucco, P.E., returned to the dais at 10:04 pm to introduce this application. He described the proposal, the wetland flagging by Cynthia Rabinowitz, the site, the septic. The plan on the easel (#06084-S) shows the steep embankment, the 12% grade, requiring that they keep the house forward. Mazzucco said we “spent a lot of time trying to figure out what works best on the site”. The well is in the back. The septic system location precluded us from putting the well in front. Scott LeRoy said Steven Danzer, Ph.D., is reviewing this, and he called me up. Mr. Mazzucco said, we went out and did soil testing for the septic. Mr. LeRoy said he had a question on the soil test results done in November 2003. Steve Danzer will call that a watercourse. We usually request a planting plan for septic and wetland boundaries, as well, Mr. LeRoy said. Steve Danzer is coming to town tomorrow. I wouldn’t bank on planning a site walk tomorrow. I’ll call him on his cell phone, Scott LeRoy said. Michael Mazzucco said the house is proposed to be 25 ft. by 65 ft., a three-bedroom, “sort of like a cape”. I can’t tighten up the grading much more without a well, Mazzucco said. Mr. Chianese asked the driveway grade. Mazzucco said, about 12% with a catch basin; that’s the maximum allowed. Scott asked, if the home was pulled forward, won’t that decrease the amount of fill you need in the rear? Mazzucco replied, yes, but there is not a whole lot of room for pulling it forward. Scott LeRoy said he did not understand; instead of kitty-corner, put the house flat with the property line. Mazzucco said, you would still end up being down in that corner. “It was tough trying to work” the whole thing out, Mazzucco said. Mr. LeRoy and Mr. Mazzucco discussed how to change the plan. Scott asked, is this a lot split? Mazzucco said it is a division split in an RA-20 Zone. It’s about 20,000 sq. ft. and there are certain setbacks to meet. Mr. Chianese said, “I’ll entertain a motion”. Mr. Lees made a motion to **table** this item. Mr. Mills seconded the motion and it carried unanimously at 10:17 pm.

**Padanaram / Pembroke Roads**

**Regulated Activity # 550**

**Corp. for Independent Living**

Assessor’s Lot # G07037

Date of Receipt: 4/14/04. *See 4/25 ltr.from D.DiVesta. Stetson Place Water Main.*

First 65 Days: 6/18/04. Second 65 Days: 8/22/04. Mr. Martin LeGault identified himself at 10:17 pm, from the Corporation for Independent Living. He introduced Mr. Bill Lennon, who is in charge of this construction. Scott LeRoy said he’s gone out to the site with the engineer, and this is consistent with the original application. Scott LeRoy

requested that his be moved to Administrative Approval. “I’ll talk to the engineer”; he’ll check it out; I’ll approve it, Scott said. Mr. Lees made a motion to **move this to Administrative Approval**. Mr. Westney seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**4 Williams Street**

**Regulated Activity #552**

**City of Danbury**

Assessor’s Lot # I15083

Date of Receipt: 4/28/04.

*Blind Brook Playground*

First 65 Days: 7/2/04. Second 65 Days: 9/5/04. *Parks & Recreation Dept.* Scott LeRoy said, “They just want to build a park. It’s little.” It’s meant to walk to, for the community. Mr. Chianese asked, for Administrative Approval, and Scott LeRoy answered “yes”. Mr. Westney made a motion to **move this to Administrative Approval** at 10:24 pm. Mr. Mills seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

**71 – 73 Boulevard Drive**

**Regulated Activity # 526 R**

**Lake Place West, LLC**

Assessors Lot # D16001 & D16031

Date of Receipt of Revision: 4/28/04  
*coverage.*

*Slightly less impervious*

First 65 Days: 7/2/94. Second 65 Days: 9/5/04. Mr. Dainius Virbickas, P.E., of Artel Engineering Group, spoke on behalf of this issue. He submitted a corrected letter to Benjamin Chianese with the corrected date, **2004**. This is for fourteen residential units on Boulevard Drive. Mr. Virbickas gave a brief history of the site, which the EIC had approved with “a load of conditions”. He gave Secretary Lee his check # 2097 for \$170.00. With his map on the easel, he discussed the changes, the modifications as recommended by the Planning Dept., the parking spaces, and the slightly less impervious surface. Mr. Chianese asked if it is okay to move this to Administrative Approval, and Scott LeRoy said “sure”. Mr. Chianese made a motion to **move the application to Administrative Approval**. Mr. Mills seconded the motion, and, being no further discussion, it carried unanimously at 10:28 pm.

**APPLICATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL:**

**Oil Mill Road – Lot 12**

**Regulated Activity # 389 R**

**Burton’s Bridge Condominiums**

Assessors Lot # H15066

Date of Receipt of **Revision:** 1/14/04.

Administrative Approval Requested.

First 65 Days: 3/12/04. Second 65 Days: 5/16/04. Extension letter rec'd. 3/12/04. Scott LeRoy said he is waiting for more input from Michael Mazzucco, P.E. **No action taken.**

**Crows Nest Lane**

**Regulated Activity # 495R**

**GRC Property Investments & Dev., LLC** Assessor's Lot # L15009

Date of Receipt: 4/14/04.

*Revised Site Plan*

First 65 Days: 6/18/04. Second 65 Days: 8/22/04. The **Administrative Approval** letter was finished and mailed 4/29/04.

**ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF ACTIONS:**

**Backus Avenue**

**Regulated Activity # 547**

**City of Danbury** Backus Avenue ROW, Portions of Assessor's Lots # E18003, E18004, F18001, E18010

Date of Receipt: 4/14/04. *Sanitary Sewer. See Scott LeRoy's Admin.Approval ltr. 4/28/04.*

First 65 Days: 6/18/04. Second 65 Days: 8/22/04.

**16 Shoreview Lane**

**Regulated Activity # 549**

**Lakeview Development, Inc.**

Assessor's Lot # H08079

Date of Receipt: 4/14/04. *Dwelling. Scott LeRoy's Admin.Approval letter sent 4/28/04.*

First 65 Days: 6/18/04. Second 65 Days: 8/22/04.

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

Aquatic pesticides permit application of Aquatic Control Technology to Lillinonah Lake.

Letter of 3/31/04 from State DEP Bureau of Water Management: Coordinate the EIC Regulation amendment process with our Corporation Counsel.

**EIC ADMINISTRATION, OPERATIONS & FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

Regulations Update Workshop postponed since William Mills' father in Norwalk is ill. Mr. LeRoy said to reschedule this discussion to the next meeting. Mr. Mills said he'll talk to the Candlewood Lake Authority, Larry Marsicano. He asked that everyone review the information that he's already handed out two weeks ago. Steve Danzer was invited too, Bill said. Mr. Chianese asked the Board Members if they wanted to

permanently move the meeting start time to 7 pm, and asked them to “think it over”, and we’ll discuss it at the next meeting. Mr. Chianese said the City has a new software program which he was shown last night by Sean Hearty, with a new tracking program, supposed to be initiated 7/1/04, whereby certain applications can be submitted electronically. It will be a trial and error process, Ben said. Mr. Lees asked Scott a question about the Vortechnic unit and its maintenance. Scott LeRoy said he can ask the Vortechnic people to come down and do a presentation at a regular EIC meeting. It was suggested that Scott have them bring pizza.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Mr. Lees made a motion to adjourn. Sabrina Charney seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously at 10:43 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia M. Lee, Secretary