



**CITY OF DANBURY**  
155 Deer Hill Avenue  
Danbury, CT 06810

*Environmental Impact Commission*

*www.ci.danbury.ct.us*

*203-797-4525*

*203-797-4586 fax*

**MINUTES**

**February 11, 2004**

**Common Council Chambers**

Next regularly scheduled meeting date **2/25/04**, 7 pm.

The meeting opened at 7:10 pm.

Members Present: Chairman Benjamin Chianese, Bruce Lees, William J. Mills, Keith Prazeres, Thomas Pinkham, Jr., Kevin Russell (late)

Members Absent: Craig Westney

Staff Present: Jack Kozuchowski, Coordinator of Environmental and Occupational Health Services, Senior EIC Inspector Scott LeRoy, Corporation Counsel Robert Yamin, Secretary Patricia Lee

Chairman Benjamin Chianese opened the meeting and had the Members identify themselves. Thomas Pinkham, Jr. made a motion to accept tonight's agenda. Mr. Lees seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously. Mr. Russell made a motion to table the minutes from EIC meetings of 12/10/03 and 1/14/04, since the Board Members had not yet read them. Mr. Mills seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

State DEP **2003 Legislation and Regulations Advisory:** IWWA Amended Section 22a-42a with Public Act 03-177 and Public Act 03-276, and comments from Steven Danzer, Ph.D., for our regulations update. Mr. LeRoy introduced the 1997 example of the regulations, with each year's revisions, and handed them out to Commission Members. Chairman Chianese said that we are in the process of updating our regulations and fee schedules. Bill Mills is the chairman of that subcommittee. Bill Mills said he is gathering information, and after two weeks, he will hopefully set up meetings. Scott LeRoy said to keep in mind the February agenda, and perhaps schedule this meeting for the first meeting in March as a workshop, 3/10/04. Scott said to get input, comments from the Candlewood Lake Authority, engineers, environmental specialists; it is a "lot to read". Scott LeRoy then pointed out to the Commission the time frame regulations: the EIC must make a decision within 35 days of the close of a Public Hearing.

**EIC ADMINISTRATION, OPERATIONS, & FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

2004 Municipal Inland Wetland Commissioners Training Program, CT DEP:  
Segment I March/April 2004  
Segment II May/ June 2004 (copy of pamphlet given to each  
Bd.Member)

\$50.00

Scott LeRoy told the Members that this training was very good and very informative. Chairman Chianese said that he had spoken to Bill Campbell, who is in favor of training for all Members, and he will ask the City of Danbury for funding. Those who want to attend should tell Ben Chianese. Scott said to get requests for time and dates in to him as soon as possible.

Chairman Ben Chianese next asked the Board Members to entertain an idea to open up the end of each EIC meeting for 5 or 10 minutes to the Public, in order to address questions and concerns of a general nature. Scott LeRoy asked Ben if he had discussed this idea with Corporation Counsel. Mr. Chianese said it would be about non-binding issues, an opportunity to address us as a Commission. Attorney Yamin said, "Conceptually, it will not be a problem", but then he gave an example of a specific night following the close of a Public Hearing. Mr. Chianese said maybe we could hear about suspected violations. Mr. LeRoy said it would be breaking a format that has been "pretty much set in statute". Mr. Prazeres mentioned how he's been "caught" in conversation in the hallway outside an EIC meeting, and he is uncertain of what he can, and cannot, say. Scott said he'd ask Corporation Counsel.

### **PUBLIC HEARING:**

**99 Federal Road**

**Regulated Activity # 533**

**Stew Leonard's**

Assessors Lot # L08031

Date of Receipt: 10/22/03 Extension ltr. rec'd. 1/16/04. *Continued from 12/10/03, 1/14/04, 1/28/04.*

First 65 Days: 12/26/03 Second 65 Days: 2/29/04. *207-SpaceParking Area.*

*See page 4, Addendum.* Chairman Chianese introduced this hearing at 7:25 pm, "if all are ready", and he described the procedure for the Public Hearing. Jack Kozuchowski will speak first, then the Public, then the applicant, with no time restraints, within reason. In regards to closing this Public Hearing tonight, he mentioned the previously read statute, and stated that 3/10/04 is the last EIC meeting on which EIC533 must be closed. But it can be closed tonight, if the applicant agrees. Jack Kozuchowski took the microphone at 7:29 pm, saying he is submitting testimony via his report. Jack briefly stated his title, formal training, and qualifications. He has worked for the City of Danbury since 1979, and has been advisor to this Commission. He gave out copies of his report to the applicant and anyone else who wanted one. He said many of the changes in the report are minor, typos. He said the applicant's was a thorough mitigative plan. He spoke of the potential of a "species of special concern (SOSC) in the general area". This does not mean rare or endangered. On page two, the species is an herbaceous flowering plant found in floodplains; "it is an obligate wetland species" with an affinity for shade. A dry

meadow is not a likely habitat, Mr. Kozuchowski said. Page 8, in the middle of the page, discussed the impact on the SOSC. On page 15, mitigation measure #7 assesses the habitat for the SOSC. This was already done, so the DEP can evaluate for its presence. A botanist must do the evaluation. In preparing the habitat mitigation plan, we must preserve the acreage that we are going to point out in our report, Jack said. He turned on the overhead projector at 7:35 pm. He gave handouts of the tables so that they can be a part of the record, he said. The reports include Functions & Values, and he described the area: dry meadow, marsh, and the river itself. He said there are two ways we can look at a project, and he want to jump forward to the compensatory measures. He displayed the overhead "Stew Leonard's Compensatory Mitigation Measures" chart, Exhibit #1, moving right to left discussing this. Exhibit #2 is the "Health Department Habitat Review Guidelines", and he spoke of the one-to-one compensation, and the 3 categories of elimination. Jack Kozuchowski said, "These are Performance Review Guidelines". We are taking "a conservative tact", due to the wetland with dry meadow function, the non-hydric soil that been formed. The "Summary of Potential Impacts of Stew Leonard's Parking Lot Proposal", Exhibit #3, was introduced, then Jack Kozuchowski switched to the discussion of alternatives. Jim Rotondo put Alternative #1, 1.3 acres, up on the easel, and Jack described it. Alternative #2, 1.2 acres, is in your report, Jack Kozuchowski said, on page 11. The proposal in Alternative #3 (1 acre) has the least environmental impact, he said. Ben Chianese asked Jack to clarify Alternative #1 versus the original plan and describe each plan in acres instead of square feet. (Tape #1 flipped to side B.) Jack Kozuchowski went back to the "Summary of Potential Impacts of Stew Leonard's Parking Lot Proposal" chart (Exhibit #3). He reviewed the flood retention, water quality, habitat, coefficient of roughness, velocity, and effects of each of the three alternatives. Jack referred to the Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials (NEMO) program at UCONN. Ben Chianese had a question on the quality of the soil in the uplands, saying, "Maybe I'm jumping ahead." Jack Kozuchowski discussed the relatively new concepts and performance of grass and gravel pavers. Bill Mills and Jack discussed the monitoring program and contingency plans. Jack then went back to the Exhibit# 3 chart, Alternatives #1, #2 and #3, and the significance of impact of each alternative. He shut off the overhead projector at 8:02 pm. Mr. Chianese asked again about the impact to the soils underneath the pavers. Jack said that those underlying soils will continue to function, as the pavers are totally porous. Mr. Kozuchowski asked the Board Members to go to page 14 and 15. The first item he remarked about is that the Conservation Easement must be recorded on the land deed. The second is that the Sedimentation and Erosion Control Plan must include a contingency for flooding during construction. There must be monitoring wells. A licensed environmental professional must review and modify the plan as needed, and report all findings to the Danbury Health Department. Mr. Chianese referred again to the ground water monitoring system: if there is no impact to the soil underneath the pavers, why must it be monitored? Jack answered that due to the vehicles, cars, there will be "some level of pollution". The pavers system functions as renovators of the soil, Jack said, and "that's proving it". Mr. Kozuchowski and Mr. Chianese segued to the number #5 contingency plan. Mr. Kozuchowski said the "ground water monitoring is a several year process. You've thoroughly proven or disproven" the success of the system. Jack Kozuchowski asked them to keep in mind the quantity of the impact; "there are two independent studies" that show "an astonishing" rate of success

beyond our required performance standards. As to who should monitor the project, Mr. Mills suggested maybe Mr. Rotondo who's installing it. Mr. Kozuchowski said they will modify the mix of butterfly, hummingbird and sunflower gardens, plus a bond that could be funded after an 80% survival rate. Per Mr. Mills' comments, Mr. Kozuchowski said they will change the wording from "should" to "will" or "shall". He discussed the Purple Loosestrife reduction program, and said an environmental professional shall oversee the boardwalk construction and installation. Chairman Chianese said we should monitor the cutting of the trees. Mr. Kozuchowski summarized the findings on the three alternative plans, the critical evaluation of the amount of diminishment of impact. He asked were there any questions. Benjamin Chianese mentioned the court case involving the Town of Wilton and Avalon Bay. Scott LeRoy suggested that they "could tie that into concerns" to protect the water quality and habitat. Right now, the statutes state that the wetlands, watercourses, water quality, and how you protect them, are the concerns of this Commission. Kevin B. Russell asked Attorney Yamin to confirm this. The Town of Wilton (vs. Avalon Bay) has a different set of regulations. Bob Yamin said, "Based on what we know", Scott LeRoy is correct. Mr. Mills asked about this lot being for employee parking, as the applicant originally proposed. Jack Kozuchowski said he is assuming it's going to be used by employees year round. Mr. Chianese asked for a definition of "heavy use". Mr. Kozuchowski said you can clarify this with the applicant, if you want to go there; that is within the power of the Commission. Mr. Prazeres referred to Hurricane Floyd and the comments previously given by businessman Mike Finn. Mr. Kozuchowski said to think about a floodplain as a bowl; you put a block in a bowl, somewhere, upstream or down, there will be flooding. Mr. Chianese asked Mr. Kozuchowski if he'd stay at the meeting all evening for questions, and Jack agreed to. AT 8:26 pm, Mr. Chianese asked if there were any members of the public who wished to speak in favor, or in opposition, to the application. Mr. Mills excused himself for five minutes. Ms. Margaret Mitchell, of 2 Park Place, Danbury, took the microphone, saying, "It's been very interesting" seeing the plans being improved, and that's "positive"; but she has never been convinced of the pressing need for this parking. They have the parking they need. She would like Stew's to specify this as employee only parking, no storage, no heavy trucks, how will the lot be lighted, and will the lighting affect the animals? Next, Ms. Mary Reynolds came to the dais and identified herself and address. She said she has a right to come here and speak, and she spoke to an attorney today. Ms. Reynolds said that he murdered all those animals. He does not even take care of his petting zoo animals in the winter cold, or heat of summer. "They're killers and murderers of the environment." She continued, "Please, please, do not okay this project." She left a letter and several articles for the Board Members to review. (Tape #2, side A, inserted). Ben Chianese read her letter from Dr. Barski, dated 8/15/2000 to Shay Nagarsheth regarding File #346, into the record at Mary Reynolds' request. Lynn Waller took the microphone and identified herself and address. She said, "I'm not a scientist", but I've read through this thing. If there were storage of weed killer or fertilizer on here, what would be the penalty? Who would enforce this? Ms. Waller sees no need for any more parking. They have plenty of parking, she said. She said it is sad to think that to get a sunflower garden and a boat launch or two, we're going to destroy this meadow. She thanked the Board Members, since it was her letter that requested the Public Hearing on this application. Mr. Reed Mitchell took the dais, identified himself, and said that there

is the aerial map of the “*floodway* as approved by the Army Corp or Engineers”, not floodplain. Tom Pinkham found the aerial map Mitchell was referring to. Mr. Mitchell said that rivers change their course. If this river changes its course, can Stew Leonard's rechannel it if it later flows through their parking lot? Mr. Mitchell said he was being “a little nasty”, but regarding the applicant's economic statistics and sales revenue stated here, “I ask was that before or after Mr. Leonard went to jail” for lying about his sales figures. Mr. Mitchell said of the soil temperature changes, this would change the whole ecology. We saw mice in the snow on the site walk. Can mice burrow through a gravel paver as they can soil? “I feel very uncomfortable” about the necessity to build in the floodway or floodplain. “It would be easier if Danbury had rules” about building on a floodway or floodplain. He referred to the water on the Danbury Fair Mall parking lot. Mr. Chianese asked for the difference between a floodway and a floodplain, and Mr. Kozuchowski answered, saying that a floodway is the “raging river of the flood”. He referred the question to a hydrologist, maybe Mr. Jackson.

Joseph Coco, Attorney at Law, identified himself as he took the microphone. He said the Purple Loosetrife elimination program was already supposed to be in place. There already is a canoe ramp behind Kimchuk, he said. Regarding the rising water versus stormwater or floodwater, won't the monitoring wells flood out? He discussed the proposed trails, launch, and asked is this not on State land? Regarding the possibility for off-site parking, Coco said he has a friend in real estate, and there are parking areas available for rent, and they are at 14 Candlewood Lake Road, 106 Federal Road, and 2 International Drive. The friend is a high school buddy of Mr. Leonard's and would be happy to rent space to him, Atty. Coco said. There is also land for sale within a few miles of Stew's. He said, as Lynn Waller had stated, the 4<sup>th</sup> Alternative is the “no build alternative”. He reviewed Stew's history, 1991 through 1999, regarding their parking issues, the temporary tent, which they asked to become the permanent tent, which occupies 48 parking spaces. He said the same thing occurs with the Hoe Down, which takes up 19 spaces. There were no Public Hearings for any of these previous requests, Coco said. The petting zoo also takes up parking spaces. He said that Planning & Zoning didn't know there was a parking problem; “you gotta be honest”. “There are alternatives off-site. There are alternatives on-site.” Attorney Coco concluded at 9 pm by asking that this be denied.

Benjamin Chianese asked if there were any members of the public who wished to speak in favor, or in opposition, to the application. He asked this three times. He said this Public Hearing could close tonight. Ward Mazzucco said he had a “house keeping” item. He said Mr. Kozuchowski referred to the three plans. Ward wanted to clarify that we are bringing all three plans in for the Board's approval. We would favor the plan offering the most parking spaces, but will accept less if the Board so votes. To answer some questions posed at the last meeting, he introduced Jim Rotondo, P.E. Mr. Rotondo referred to the previous requests for additional information and materials. He said the removal of the petting zoo and installation of 159 parking space parking deck (Exhibit #7), nets out to a total of 284 spaces. (Tom Pinkham stepped down from the panel at 9 am, and returned at 9:05 pm). Mr. Rotondo discussed the deck, it's heights, the slope of the driveways, the number of spaces, and the parking deck costs, approximately \$4.7

million. He said the deck would block the view of the store. Rotondo said moving the petting zoo to the meadow was not a feasible alternative. Mr. Chianese and Mr. Rotondo said the grass paver system is much less costly than a parking deck. Mr. Rotondo continued on about the treatment system, contingency plans, monitoring system for the performance standards, the Vortech unit, the Flo-Gard (Exhibit #8), and the proposed revised plans which include the construction of two new buildings. He submitted Exhibit #9, the Flo-Gard maintenance plan. Exhibit #10, a photograph from 1997, and a detail of the timber step system, Exhibit #11 (Tape #2 flipped to side B at 9:16 pm). Mr. Rotondo addressed Ben Chianese's concern about the weight on the paver system of fire or emergency apparatus. He said an increased base system underneath the grid will help to disburse the load over a wider area. He discussed sinkage with heavy or larger vehicles. He discussed with Mr. Mills the weights of passenger vehicles, SUV's, pick-up trucks, Humm V's. He said that Matt Poppe had submitted more planting plans to The Permit Center this past week, and Jack Kozuchowski referred to it in his report. (Bruce Lees excused himself briefly at 9:20 pm). He said the trails plans were also submitted last week, and you have these. Secretary Lee indicated that these were underneath Jack's report. Mr. Rotondo said there are no conflicts with any easements. He also added a note (SP-2) about public parking spaces in the meadow parking lot, and a note to the trail plan that the final location will be located in the field. Mr. Mills asked Mr. Rotondo for acreage of the trails, boardwalks, which Mr. Rotondo answered, and about relocating the canoe area. Mr. Rotondo said the access to the canoe areas will remain the same. Mr. Mills discussed the safety factor for the public boaters who will park in the meadow lot, and he suggested one driveway in the middle. Mr. Kozuchowski said two canoe launches were his idea. Mr. Rotondo said that these were items which the Board could make recommendations about. They then discussed canoe portage, two launches, the depth of the Still River, parking lot lighting, the old electrical box, Stew's fulltime security force, the hoe down, the hayride, the biofilter infiltration system on the lot, the trench function, the plantings, timber guardrails around the lot, "belt & suspender" function of the infiltration trench, the technical specifications of the materials, geo-grid, gravel, base stability, the lot gate, stipulation that all compensation work is done before the lot can be used, no storage, no pesticides, the ground temperature, the solid-capped well monitors, and meeting the parking Zoning Requirements of the City of Danbury in the existing parking areas.

Ward Mazzucco returned to the microphone at 9:52 pm. He said, "We do not have enough parking"; there has to be enough access so shoppers can conveniently find a spot without driving around and around. Mr. Chianese said the proposed two new buildings would create more parking space loss, but this was more of a planning issue. Atty. Mazzucco said to remember that the regulations he'd submitted is the minimum, not the maximum. "Employees are not going to be happy about parking in the back", Mazzucco said. Matt Poppe, Landscape Architect with Environmental Land Solutions, LLC, took the dais. He distributed "Partners in Flight", and discussed Blue Winged Warbler habitat and nesting, the tree removal of March 2000. He said this meadow is too small to be a grassland, and he spoke of the conceptual planting plan with the butterfly garden and sunflower patch. Mr. Mills and Mr. Chianese had some questions, as Mr. Poppe continued his discussion about the mowing, type of grass seed mix, the hayrides, the

hayride trail, the number of trees and shrubs, the species of trees and shrubs, the trees bordering the Still River, the hummingbird garden, the boardwalk, the bird blind, who will be the environmental professional present during the monitoring, the DEP definition for special wetlands, the meaning of the word “unique”, and the letter to Jack dated 2/5/04. Mr. Poppe finished speaking at 10:26 pm. Atty. Mazzucco said he wanted to thank the Board Members. He had “more housekeeping items”, the letter from Mr. Jackson in the packages. Mr. Chianese asked the difference between “floodway” and “floodplain”. Mr. Jackson, of Leonard Jackson Associates of Pomona, New York, answered that there is a floodway map for Danbury, and it’s fringes, and he explained the regulations for the two. This proposal is within a floodway, and it is in compliance, he said. Its limits are defined by the City of Danbury and by FEMA. Jack Kozuchowski said that this would not change his report anyway. Mr. Jackson said that a floodway has physical limits defined by FEMA. It includes the river channel and a portion of the channel banks. The City would have to ask FEMA to come to redefine the floodway, if the river should move one day. All cities and towns are required to administer these maps. The Federal Government wants to limit their risk, since they subsidize flood insurance. Kevin B. Russell referred to page 5 of Jack Kozuchowski’s report, especially the last sentence. Jack Kozuchowski said to strike that sentence; he thought floodway was a physical definition. Mr. Jackson said it is a physical definition and a mapping definition. Jack Kozuchowski discussed the car left behind in a flood event, saying the velocity of the water is the chief issue. Ward Mazzucco referred to violations cited in some of the opposition’s testimony. He said, these were in the past, and all have been completely remediated. “All bonds have been released”; there hasn’t been a Cease & Desist order for years. Parking in the rear will be for parking only, no storage, no tractor-trailers, a self-regulating process that will see it be for employees only. Atty. Mazzucco continued that the Board could move or delete the boat launch, move or delete the electrical panel. The driveway relocation, eliminating one access to the lot is up to the Board. He handed out maps (Exhibit #14). Atty. Mazzucco discussed Mr. Kozuchowski’s expertise, and measurement of the environmental benefit and loss. He said under the law, the economic benefit must also be justified. Atty. Mazzucco reiterated the donations, the City taxes, feeding people, as benefits. He distributed the “Summary of Economic/ Environmental/ Educational Benefits” (Exhibit #15) handout. Ward Mazzucco said he did not know under what capacity Atty. Joseph Coco has appeared on the application. The cars are going to be there, somewhere, anyway. Mr. Mazzucco summed up the expertise of his team members; “there has been no expert testimony in opposition” to the proposal, so he requests that the Board vote favorably on this application. Douglas Hempstead took the microphone and described the hayride held in October each year, for the last eight years. Usually there has been a frost by then, and the grass is brown. Mr. Hempstead complemented the professionalism of the EIC Board Members. Ben Chianese asked Atty. Mazzucco and Mr. Hempstead about Alternative #3, allowing 137 spaces. Chianese said a parking deck, two stories, as an alternative, is always going to exist. Mr. Hempstead said a deck is possible, but not feasible. Banks would not lend Stew’s the money. The Norwalk store has a parking deck. Yonkers does not have a deck and they are having parking issues, Hempstead said. Kevin Russell asked about the maximum growth point for the store, when it runs out of capacity. Mr. Hempstead said every business, every site, has a saturation point. Atty. Mazzucco said

that when people have to drive around twice and wait for someone to vacate a space, growth stops. Mr. Chianese asked why did you place the parking deck alternative where you did, and Mr. Hempstead explained. Mr. Chianese addressed the Board Members that we can keep open the Public Hearing, or close it tonight. He asked all to take 15 minutes to review all the material and ask any questions they want. Bill Mills made a motion to **continue** the Public Hearing to 2/25/04. Mr. Russell asked them to “take me through again the rationale” about why the deck is not feasible, why moving the petty zoo is not feasible. Mr. Russell said he wants to “see more”. Mr. LeRoy said, in light of the late hour, let’s ask the applicant for a written report to answer Kevin Russell’s questions. Mr. Lees seconded the motion to “continue it altogether” to 2/25/04, time to be announced. The motion carried unanimously.

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**Virginia Avenue**

**Regulated Activity # 534**

**The Garner Resubdivision** J11232, J11233, J11249, J11250, J11251, J11252, J11253,  
J11254, J11255, J11256, J11257, J11258, J11259, J11260

Date of Receipt: 12/10/03. *Three lot subdivision Extension ltr.rec’d. 2/11/04.*

First 65 Days 2/12/04, Second 65 Days 4/17/04. *Revisions rec’d. 2/10/04.*

At Scott LeRoy’s suggestion, Mr. Lees made a motion to **table** this item. Further review is needed. Mr. Pinkham seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

**40 – 42 Kenosia Avenue**

**Regulated Activity # 538**

**Kenosia Plaza, LLC**

Assessors Lot # E17085, E17086

Date of Receipt: 1/14/04

*Medical & Business Office*

First 65 Days: 3/12/04. Second 65 Days: 5/16/04. Mr. Lees made a motion to **table** this application. Further review is needed. Mr. Pinkham seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

**Saw Mill Road & Old Ridgebury Road**

**Regulated Activity # 539**

**The Reserve, Phase 1B, phase 17**

Assessors Lot # A16002, C16012

Date of Receipt: 1/14/04 *Office Building Revisions rec’d. 1/23/04.*

First 65 Days: 3/12/04. Second 65 Days: 5/16/04. Bill Mills suggested that all fo out for a site walk, as “the specs are off”. Jodie Chase, Ecologist, will evaluate the site, and further review is needed. Following a brief discussion, Mr. Lees made a motion to **table** this Office Building issue. Mr. Pinkham seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**Eagle Road Center, LLC**

**Regulated Activity # 504R**

**Eagle Road Shopping Center**

Assessors Lot # L09028, L10018, L10019, L10020, L10021,  
L10022, L10024, L10025

Date of Receipt: 2/11/04

*Best Buy, Loews, Lowe's - Revisions*

First 65 Days: 4/16/04. Second 65 Days: 6/20/04. Mr. Lees made a motion to **table** this application. Mr. Pinkham seconded it. The motion carried unanimously.

**APPLICATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL:**

**Oil Mill Road – Lot 12**

**Regulated Activity # 389 R**

**Burton's Bridge Condominiums**

Assessors Lot # H15066

Date of Receipt of **Revision**: 1/14/04

Administrative Approval Requested.

First 65 Days: 3/12/04. Second 65 Days: 5/16/04. Further review is needed. There was **no action taken** on this item.

**77 – 83 Mill Plain Road**

**Regulated Activity # 537**

**Shurgard Storage Centers, Inc.**

Assessors Lot # D14032

Date of Receipt: 12/10/04

*Extension letter rec'd. 2/5/04*

First 65 Days 2/12/04, Second 65 Days 4/17/03. Scott LeRoy wants to see mitigation plans for wildlife improvement. There was **no action taken** on this application.

**ADJOURNMENT:** The time for the next meeting will be 7 pm, 2/25/04, per Mr. Chianese. Mr. Pinkham made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Lees seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously at 11:18 pm.

Respectfully submitted by

Patricia M. Lee, Secretary